

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE. United State Faint and Tytoderark Office COMMENCE FOR PARTENTS Administry, Vigina 22(1):1458 www.ngio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/529,257	04/11/2000	MASAKAZU ONIZUKA	1684/48707	5277	
7590 01/23/2004 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAM	EXAMINER LEUNG, RENNIFER A	
			LEUNG, JE		
P. O. BOX 1431			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N. DC 20044-4300				

1764 DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>(1</u>	,			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/529,257	ONIZUKA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Jennifer A. Leung	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(s) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Determine of these may be auditable used the provisions of 20 cmf (1.15(d)), in the ceremi, however, may a rapidy to through the common of the may be auditable used the provisions of 20 cmf (1.15(d)), in the ceremi, however, may a rapidy to through the common of the cereminal of the							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2003.						
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	3/ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					

### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The path or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1 Certified conies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13\(\text{T}\) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.

37 CER 1 78 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14V Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet, 37 CFR 1.78.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement's) (PTO-1449) Paper Note)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: Application/Control Number: 09/529,257 Art Unit: 1764

1 . 4

### DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 23, 2003 has been entered.

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed on September 23, 2003 has been received and carefully
considered. The changes made to the Specification are acceptable. Claims 2, 3 and 5-7 have
been cancelled. Claims 1 and 4 remain active.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

 Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamaru et al. (JP 08-000950) in view of Johnson (US 2,931,580).

With respect to claim 1, Tamaru et al. disclose a wet gas desulfurizing apparatus for absorbing the sulfur oxides of an exhaust gas with an absorption liquid ([Sections 0002-0003]), said apparatus comprising a branch pipe 12 of diameter D ([Section 0016], FIG. 3) for circulating an absorption liquid, said pipe 12 extending into a collection tank 4 and having an end which discharges absorption liquid into the collection tank (FIG. 1). Furthermore, Tamaru et al. disclose an air-blowing pipe 14 for injecting air into the pipe 12, said air-blowing pipe having

an end inserted into the pipe 12 at an insertion point (mixing point 13). Tamaru et al. also disclose the branch pipe 12 extends through a wall of the collection tank 4 (see FIG. 1) in order to discharge the circulating absorption liquid into the absorption liquid in the collection tank 4

Tamaru et al. are silent as to the air-blowing pipe 14 being inserted into the branch pipe at an insertion point located between 3D and 10D from the discharge end of the pipe 12. However, Tamaru et al. disclose that generating a "foam" by mixing the absorption liquid and air prior to injection improves the diffusion of air in the collection tank and, "it becomes possible to make it blow in into a liquid as a detailed foam also of a mass of gas," interpreted to mean that the foam is still present in the liquid upon reaching the discharge end of pipe 12 (machine translation; [Section 0008]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the air-blowing pipe into the branch pipe at an insertion point between 3D and 10D from the discharge end of a branch pipe in the apparatus of Tamaru et al, on the basis of suitability for the intended use and absent showing unexpected results thereof, because inserting the air-blowing pipe at a point of sufficient distance upstream of the discharge end allows the air and fluid to be well mixed in the discharge pipe prior to injection, and when compared with the case of gas injection without prior mixing, the generated "foam" mixture more easily diffuses into the collection tank, allowing better distribution of the gas in the liquid, as taught by Tamaru et al. Furthermore, shifting location of parts is obvious, and where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art, In re Aller, 105 USPO 233.

In view of the newly added limitations, Tamaru et al. is silent as to the instantly recited structure of the insertion point 13, requiring the air-blowing pipe 14 to be inserted into the

branch pipe 12 so that a central axis of the air blowing pipe 14 meets with a central axis of the branch pipe 12 at an angle, and requiring an end of the air blowing pipe 14 which opens in the branch pipe 12 to be configured as a semicircular trough facing downstream towards the collection tank 4. In any event, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the recited structure for the insertion point in the apparatus of Tamaru et al., on the basis of suitability for the intended use, because such mixing means is conventionally known in the art, as evidenced by Johnson (below), and the substitution of known equivalent structures involves only ordinary skill in the art. In re Fout 213 USPO 532 (CCPA 1982); In re Susi 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971), In re Siebentritt 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967); In re Ruff 118 USPQ 343 (CCPA 1958). In particular, Johnson (FIG. 3, 5; column 2, line 52 to column 3, line 13) teaches a fluid mixing means comprising a first pipe (mixing chamber 26) for carrying a first fluid (i.e., pressurized air) introduced via nipple 27 and a second pipe (outlet portion 36) for carrying a second fluid (i.e., liquid cleaning solution) introduced via tube 31, the second pipe 36 being inserted into the first pipe 26 so that a central axis of the second pipe 36 meets with a central axis of the first pipe 26 at a angle, and wherein the an end of the second pipe 36 (i.e., the discharge opening 38) substantially defines a "semicircular trough" (see FIG. 3) that faces downstream (i.e., flow direction indicated by the letter D). Pipes 26 and 36 cooperatively form a venturi tube wherein, "air which is highly turbulent, breaks the cleaning solution into extremely small particles and the cleaning solution is effectively misted," and wherein, "the high velocity air also breaks the water into small particles which subsequently move turbulently through the nozzle pipe 39 and through the spray tip 40 thereof," (column 3, lines 39-67), essentially functioning like the mixing section 13 of Tamaru et al. Although

Application/Control Number: 09/529,257 Art Unit: 1764

Johnson uses the mixing means to introduce air via the first pipe 26/27 and cleaning solution via the second pipe 36, such that the cleaning solution is lightly misted, reversing the fluid flows such that liquid were introduced via the first pipe 26/27 and gas were introduced via the second pipe 36 would have involved ordinary skill in the art, depending on the intended use of the apparatus (i.e., depending on the desired fluid to be metered via the valved pipe 36, or the desired "earrier fluid" to be introduced via pipe 26/27).

With respect to claim 4, Tamaru et al. disclose that the interior diameter d of the airblowing pipe 14 may be changed with changes in the flow rate through pipe 12, and further disclose a specific diameter d in the range of 0.3D to 0.7D (aubstantially the recited range of 0.4D to 0.7D), where D is the diameter of pipe 12. Numerical ranges that overlap prior arranges were held to have been obvious. In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976), In re malagart 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); In re Fields 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); In re Nobremberg 125 USPQ 583 (CCPA 1960).

# Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A. Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1449. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:30 pm M-F, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn A. Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for

Application/Control Number: 09/529,257 Art Unit: 1764

. .

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jennifer A. Leung January 12, 2004

then iran

HIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER